Fueling phocids: Divergent exploitation of primary energy sources and parallel ontogenetic diet switches among three species of subarctic seals
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ABSTRACT

Determining how marine predators partition resources is hindered by the difficulty in obtaining information on diet and distribution. Stable isotopes (SI) of carbon ($^{13}C/^{12}C$, δ$^{13}C$) and nitrogen ($^{15}N/^{14}N$, δ$^{15}N$) provide a two-dimensional estimate of the dietary space of consumers; an animal’s isotopic composition is directly influenced by what they consume and where they feed. Harp (Pagophilus groenlandicus) and hooded (Cystophora cristata) seals are abundant phocid species found in the North Atlantic. We measured and contrasted SI values between seals sampled at nearshore and offshore sites to test for effects of sampling location, sex, age-class, and body size to gain insight into how these species partition space and prey resources. In addition we contrasted previously published results for gray seals (Halichoerus grypus). Isotope values differed significantly by age class and location in harp and hooded seals. We found significant differences in SI values (mean δ$^{13}C$ and δ$^{15}N$ ± SE) between all species. Hooded seals, a continental shelf-edge, deep-diving species, exhibited low SI values (juveniles: −20.9‰ ± 0.03‰, 13.6‰ ± 0.05‰; adults: −20.41‰ ± 0.03‰, 14.81‰ ± 0.04‰) characteristic of feeding on meso- to bathypelagic prey. Harp seals, which dive to moderate depths primarily on the shelf had intermediate SI values (juveniles: −20.53‰ ± 0.01‰, 13.91‰ ± 0.01‰; adults: −20.13‰ ± 0.01‰, 14.96‰ ± 0.01‰) characteristic of feeding on epipelagic prey, whereas gray seals, which feed on or near the sea floor in shallow shelf waters, had high SI values (juveniles: −19.74‰ ± 0.04‰, 17.51‰ ± 0.05‰; adults: −18.86‰ ± 0.01‰, 17.23‰ ± 0.02‰) characteristic of feeding on demersal prey. In all species, δ$^{13}C$ values increased with body size and age in the same manner, indicating that seals exploit or forage in deeper habitats as they get larger.
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and older. We hypothesize that the consistent ontogenetic shift in foraging niche, despite large differences between species in their diving behavior, geographic range and habitat use, not only reflects increased access to different prey due to increased diving capacity, but a progressive adjustment to balance energy budgets by reducing foraging costs.
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Theoretical studies have emphasized the influence of competition for finite resources on the evolution of species and communities (Bolnick et al. 2003). Competition can be mitigated through resource (i.e., niche) partitioning over varying spatial and temporal scales (Bolnick et al. 2003). Despite the importance of understanding how competition for resources may influence the evolution of species, studying resource partitioning, particularly in upper-trophic level marine predators, has been hindered by the difficulty in obtaining reliable estimates of diet and distribution (Bowen et al. 2002).

Body size is thought to be important in structuring trophic linkages within food webs (e.g., Carbone et al. 1999, 2007) and among terrestrial predators is thought to have evolved to reduce competition (Rosenzweig 1968). Body size of sympatric terrestrial carnivores is positively correlated with mass of their prey, as larger predators often consume larger prey items in order to satisfy larger absolute energy requirements (e.g., Carbone et al. 1999, 2007; Sinclair et al. 2003; Radloff and du Toit 2004; Owen-Smith and Mills 2008). Recent studies have also emphasized the energetic importance of diet shifts as well as food web structure for growth in freshwater fish and marine fish (Trudel et al. 2001; Pazzia et al. 2002; Sherwood et al. 2002, 2007; Rasmussen et al. 2008). Within-species, diet shifts toward progressively larger prey items as individuals grow are commonly observed (e.g., Pazzia et al. 2002, Sherwood et al. 2002). These diet shifts may also require changes in feeding habitats. In many marine predator species, we know relatively little about diet shifts and therefore about how resource partitioning may change with changes in body size. Although obtaining reliable estimates of the diets of predators, such as marine mammals, continues to pose challenges (Bowen and Iverson, in press), our understanding of the spatial distribution of marine predators has increased dramatically (e.g., Field et al. 2005, Breed et al. 2006, Bajzak et al. 2009, Bailleul et al. 2010). These studies have revealed sex and age-specific differences in habitat segregation at different spatial and temporal scales. Energy requirements and physiology are known to vary with reproductive status and ontogeny, which in turn may influence foraging behavior, habitat use and resource selection (e.g., Beck et al. 2003, 2007). To fully capitalize on this growing understanding of the spatial ecology of marine predators and to better understand niche partitioning and the role these predators might play in regulating food webs, we need better information on which habitats are important and what resources are exploited.

The analysis of stable nitrogen ($^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) and stable carbon ($^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$) isotopes has proven useful in describing trophic interactions in food webs (Newsome et al. 2010). Essentially, stable isotopes (SI) provide a description of how various sources of primary production fuel higher order consumers and the subsequent links between them. Isotopes of nitrogen are enriched in consumers relative to diet by an average of 3%–5% (Hobson and Welch 1992), thereby providing a measure of trophic position (TP). On the other hand, carbon isotopes are enriched by $\sim 1\%$ with trophic transfers. Variation in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ occurs over both small and
large scales due to differences in photosynthetic production and/or various physical-chemical processes (reviewed in Newsome et al. 2010) resulting in differences in signatures between primary producer communities; these differences are transferred throughout the food webs they fuel permitting, among others, inferences about where consumers forage (e.g., Cherel and Hobson 2007). This allows for a geographical interpretation of carbon isotope values, which can be used to designate broad-scale foraging locations of animals in the marine environment. In marine environments, including the North Atlantic, differences in $\delta^{13}C$ signatures are observed with latitude, between nearshore and offshore systems and between demersal and pelagic communities (Sherwood and Rose 2005, Tucker et al. 2007, McMeans et al. 2010, Pinela et al. 2010); $\delta^{13}C$ is lower (more negative) in both offshore and pelagic food webs relative to nearshore and benthic food webs and decreases with increasing latitude.

Gray seals (Halichoerus grypus) are a wide-ranging and abundant species inhabiting the continental shelf of the Northwest Atlantic and diving to depths generally <100 m (Beck et al. 2003, Austin et al. 2004, Breed et al. 2006). Gray seals are sex-dimorphic, generalist predators consuming a mixture of both demersal and pelagic fishes, with males being about 50% heavier than females (Beck et al. 2007, Bowen and Harrison 2007). There is evidence of dietary niche segregation in gray seals with an increasing reliance on demersal prey in larger and older individuals and from females to males (Beck et al. 2007, Tucker et al. 2007). These differences were reflected in differences in foraging behavior at the level of individual dives, movement, and seasonal habitat use (Beck et al. 2003; Austin et al. 2004; Breed et al. 2006, 2009; Breed 2008). Moreover, there was a significant positive correlation between body size and both $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$, with overlap between sex and age groups, suggesting that diet shifts towards a larger fraction of both demersal and higher trophic level prey as seals grow (Tucker et al. 2007).

Like gray seals, harp (Pagophilus groenlandicus) and hooded (Cystophora cristata) seals are abundant phocids in the subarctic Atlantic Ocean (Stenson et al. 1997, 2003; Hammill and Stenson 2006). They exhibit interspecific differences in body size, distribution and diving patterns (Folkow et al. 1996, 2004). Hooded seals are size-dimorphic, with adult males being approximately 50% larger than females and 2.5 times larger than adult harps of either sex (Hammill and Stenson 2000). Harp seals are only slightly dimorphic with males being 10% larger than females (Chabot et al. 1996). Following moulting in April, harp seals gradually increase fat reserves over the summer and fall (Chabot et al. 1996). Both adult male and female harp seals reach peak body mass just prior to breeding in February. In hooded seals, most energy stores are accumulated between September and March just prior to breeding (Chabot et al. 2006). Although they are both wide ranging and exhibit long-distance seasonal migrations (>3,000 km), summering in Arctic waters of eastern Canada and west Greenland, and wintering off Newfoundland and in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, harp seals mainly inhabit the continental shelf (Stenson and Sjare 1997, Folkow et al. 2004), while hooded seals are more strongly associated with the continental shelf edge and the deep ocean (Folkow and Blix 1999, Anderson et al. 2009, Bajzak et al 2009). Most harp seal dives are <$50m (Folkow et al. 2004; Nordøy et al. 2008; GBS, unpublished data). By contrast, hooded seals regularly dive >100 m and can exceed 1,500 m (Folkow and Blix 1999; GBS, unpublished data). Limited satellite tagging indicates that both species may co-occur throughout much of the year (Folkow et al. 1996, 2004; Anderson et al. 2009).
2009) and overlap with gray seals during the winter, particularly in the Gulf of St. Lawrence.

Differences in foraging among these species are reflected in what is known about diet. Harp seals primarily consume a mixed diet of pelagic fish and invertebrates such as capelin, *Mallotus villosus*, Arctic cod, *Boreogadus saida*, herring, *Clupea harengus*, euphausiids, and amphipods (Lawson *et al.* 1995, Lawson and Stenson 1997, Lawson *et al.* 1998, Tucker *et al.* 2009). Hooded seal diets are typically a mixture of deeper-water pelagic and demersal species such as halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*), redfish (*Sebastes* sp.), and squid, with smaller quantities of herring, capelin, Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*), and Arctic cod (Hammill and Stenson 2000; Haug *et al.* 2004, 2007; Tucker *et al.* 2009). Ontogenetic changes in diving and foraging behavior are poorly described for these species, although there is evidence of ontogenetic diet shifts in harp seals where the proportion of pelagic fish declines as the proportion of pelagic invertebrates increases in the diet as seals grow (Lawson *et al.* 1995, Lawson and Stenson 1997, Tucker *et al.* 2009). Similarly, juvenile hooded seals consume more pelagic fish than adults (Tucker *et al.* 2009). To date, diet studies on other pinniped species have generally documented an increase in consumption of benthic prey from postweaning to adulthood (e.g. Field *et al.* 2007, Drago *et al.* 2009, Newland *et al.* 2011, Jeglinski *et al.* 2012). This underlies the widespread suggestion that diet shifts are tied to improvements in diving skills.

Although we have estimates of the species composition of the diet and where these animals are generally foraging, we lack a comprehensive understanding of which food webs are exploited, how resources are partitioned within and among species, and how distribution and variation in prey availability and quality affect energy accumulation. Climate and oceanic regime change in the North Atlantic can result in major shifts in both the composition and abundance of primary producer, secondary and tertiary consumer communities, resulting in alternative pathways of energy flow to top consumers (Frank *et al.* 2005, Greene *et al.* 2008, Shackell *et al.* 2010) which may vary in complexity, quality and in efficiency of trophic transfers. Short-term impacts of such shifts in prey communities have been noted in various aspects of seal foraging behavior, diet and life history variables (e.g., Bowen *et al.* 2006, Tucker *et al.* 2009), but the long-term consequences of changes on marine mammal and prey populations are poorly understood (e.g., Bowen *et al.* 2006, Newsome *et al.* 2007).

In the current study, we measured SI signatures in harp and hooded seals and compared these data to our previously published gray seal results to test for effects of age-class and body size on niche segregation within and between these phocid species. Although these species are not sympatric in the classic sense, as in the case of various guilds of terrestrial predators (e.g., Sinclair *et al.* 2003, Radloff and du Toit 2004, Owen-Smith and Mills 2008), the comparison among species should provide insight into how these similar species (from an evolutionary perspective) have partitioned space and prey resources.

**Methods**

*Sampling of Seals*

Harp and hooded seals were collected along the northeast coast of Newfoundland and southern Labrador between November and March from 1995 to 2004 by
experienced seals hunters and scientific personnel from the Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans (178 harp, 51 hooded; Sjare and Stenson 2010) and during an offshore cruise in the winter of 2004 (Fig. 1). Seals were weighed to the nearest 0.5 kg and a muscle sample (approximately 0.5 kg) was taken from the posterior flank, placed in Whirlpaks, and frozen.

Seal ages were determined to the nearest year by sectioning a lower canine tooth and then counting dentine annuli (Bowen et al. 1983). Both species were divided into juveniles (1–4 yr) and adults (≥5 yr) (Sjare and Stenson 2010) and grouped by sampling location: nearshore (defined as <30 km from shore; Lawson et al. 1995) and offshore (>30 km). The delineation and sampling locations generally correspond to the shelf-break (Fig. 1). Because of small sample sizes, seals were pooled across years.

**Stable Isotope Analysis**

Muscle samples were dried to constant weight (for 48 h at 80°C in a drying oven) and crushed to a fine powder using a mortar and pestle. Stable carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios were determined by the analysis of CO₂ and N₂ produced by combustion in a CE Elemental Analyzer followed by gas chromatograph separation and analysis with a Delta plus isotope ratio mass spectrometer (G. G. Hatch Isotope Laboratories, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada). Stable carbon and nitrogen ratios were expressed in delta (δ) notation, defined as the parts per thousand
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*Figure 1.* Map of sampling locations. Open circles represent inshore sampling locations in Newfoundland (NFLND) and Labrador; closed circles are offshore sampling locations (defined as >30 km from shore) for harp and hooded seals. The gray circle denotes the location of Sable Island where gray seals were sampled. The solid line delineating the margin of the continental shelf is the 200 m depth contour.
deviation from a standard material: \( \delta^{13}C \) or \( \delta^{15}N = \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right) \times 1,000 \); \( R = ^{13}C/^{12}C \) or \( ^{15}N/^{14}N \). Standards used were PDB limestone for \( \delta^{13}C \) and \( N_2 \) in air for \( \delta^{15}N \). A subsample (10%) was analyzed in duplicate; the average standard error of the mean for replicates was 0.05\% for \( \delta^{13}C \) and 0.07\% for \( \delta^{15}N \). Lipid-normalized values of \( \delta^{13}C \) were calculated from C:N ratios using the equations in McConnaughey and McRoy (1979). As muscle has an average protein half-life of \( \sim 12.5–83.3 \) d, isotope ratios likely reflect diets assimilated up to many months previous to collection (Kurle and Worthy 2002, Newsome et al. 2010), i.e., over the prebreeding fattening period.

To illustrate the shift in stable isotope values between habitats (Fig. 2), we summarized the published data (available on request) for fish in the Northwest Atlantic including the Scotian Shelf (Tucker et al. 2007; Trzcinski, unpublished data\(^4\)) and the Grand Banks (Sherwood and Rose 2005). Fish diets were classified as pelagic, mixed, or benthic based on knowledge of feeding habits (Scott and Scott 1988, Sherwood and Rose 2005, Froese and Pauly 2011). Overlaid are mean (± SE) isotope values for adult and juvenile harp, hooded, and gray seals (this study).

\( \delta^{13}C \) and \( \delta^{15}N \) values for fish species (\( n = 40 \)) sampled in the foraging range of harp, hooded, and gray seals in the Northwest Atlantic including the Gulf of St Lawrence (Lesage et al. 2001), the Scotian Shelf (Tucker et al. 2007; Trzcinski, unpublished data\(^4\)) and the Grand Banks (Sherwood and Rose 2005). Fish diets were classified as pelagic, mixed, or benthic based on knowledge of feeding habits (Scott and Scott 1988, Sherwood and Rose 2005, Froese and Pauly 2011). Note that this data set is insufficient to estimate diets of seals as many common prey taxa are missing.

To compare gray seals to harp and hooded seals, we selected only prebreeding, winter samples (\( n = 75 \)) from the previously published data (Tucker et al. 2007) for consistency. Briefly, gray seals were sampled on Sable Island (\( 43^\circ55'N, 60^\circ00'W \); approximately 300 km southeast of mainland Nova Scotia, Canada) from 1996 to 2001 (Tucker et al. 2007). Individuals were captured onshore using hand-held nets (see Bowen et al. 1992) and most animals were weighed to the nearest 0.5 kg. Skin

\(^4\)Personal communication from Kurtis M. Trzcinski, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, 1 Challenger Drive, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia B2Y 4A2, Canada, 19 April 2011.
samples (approximately 0.05–0.1 g) were taken from the rear flipper of known-aged adult male \( n = 29 \) and female \( n = 29 \) gray seals in January at the start of the breeding season. Seventeen juveniles (mostly yearlings; \( n = 7 \) male, \( n = 10 \) female) were sampled in January 2004. As gray seals were live-captured upon returning to Sable Island, foraging location of the animals sampled was not known, but results from satellite-telemetry tracking indicates that foraging prior to our sampling period occurs on the eastern Scotian Shelf (Breed et al. 2006, 2009). As skin and muscle display different fractionation coefficients for \( \delta^{13}C \), we corrected the harp and hooded seal muscle values by \(-1.3^\circ\) and gray seal skin values by \(-2.8^\circ\) respectively to make values directly comparable (Hobson et al. 1996).

**Statistical Analyses**

We used General Linear Models (GLMs) with Gaussian error distributions to test the following hypotheses for harp and hooded seals at a 0.05 significance level: do stable isotope values (\( \delta^{13}C \) and \( \delta^{15}N \)) vary between species with different diving behavior, do values change between age classes and sex, and is there an effect of sampling location. We had insufficient samples to examine interannual effects. To examine intraspecific differences in greater detail, we ran separate GLMs for harp and hooded seals, respectively. We then compared isotope values between all three species by running a separate GLM with species, age class, and sex as factors. In this analysis all individuals in a species were pooled, irrespective of sampling location to represent the broadest niche of each species. We fit linear regressions (LM) to evaluate the relationship between \( \delta^{13}C \) and body size and \( \delta^{15}N \) and body size using species as an independent factor. All possible models with two-way interactions were run and residuals were examined for lack of fit. GLM and LM models were fitted in R version 2.12.2 (R Development Core Team 2011). Means are reported throughout with standard error (SE).

**Results**

In addition to the 75 prebreeding samples from our previously published gray seal data (58 adult, 17 juvenile), SI values where determined for 178 harp seals and 51 hooded seals (Table 1).

\( \delta^{13}C \) values differed significantly by age class in harp seals \( F_{(1,177)} = 17.54, \; P < 0.001 \) and sampling area \( F_{(1,177)} = 11.20, \; P = 0.001; \) Table 1, 2). Sex was not significant \( F_{(1,177)} = 1.56, \; P = 0.213 \), nor were there significant interaction terms. \( \delta^{15}N \) values differed significantly by age class \( F_{(1,177)} = 44.79, \; P < 0.001 \), but not for sampling area \( F_{(1,177)} = 0.80, \; P = 0.372 \) or sex \( F_{(1,177)} = 3.71, \; P = 0.057 \). Similarly, in hooded seals, \( \delta^{13}C \) values differed significantly by age class \( F_{(1,50)} = 12.89, \; P = 0.001 \) and sampling area \( F_{(1,50)} = 12.32, \; P = 0.001, \) Table 1, 2), but not by sex \( F_{(1,50)} = 0.88, \; P = 0.555 \), nor were there significant interaction terms. \( \delta^{15}N \) values differed significantly by age class \( F_{(1,50)} = 19.14, \; P < 0.001 \) and sampling area \( F_{(1,50)} = 11.13, \; P = 0.002 \), but not by sex \( F_{(1,50)} = 3.73, \; P = 0.06 \).

When entered as a main effect, harp and hooded seals (Fig. 3, Table 2) differed significantly in \( \delta^{13}C \) values \( F_{(1,228)} = 4.27, \; P = 0.04 \). There were significant effects of age class \( F_{(1,228)} = 38.62, \; P < 0.001 \) and sampling area \( F_{(1,50)} = 30.33, \; P < 0.001 \), but there was also a marginally significant interaction between species and area \( F_{(1,228)} = 3.92, \; P = 0.049 \). For animals taken in the nearshore area, there
Table 1. Mean (± SE) body mass, δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N for adult and juvenile, male and female harp and hooded seals. Harp and hooded seals were sampled in nearshore and offshore (>30 km from shore) locations of the northwest Atlantic adjacent to Newfoundland and Labrador; gray seals were sampled on Sable Island, Nova Scotia (Fig. 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Age class</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mass (kg)</th>
<th>δ¹³C (‰)</th>
<th>δ¹⁵N (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harp</td>
<td>nearshore</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>113.7 (5.2)</td>
<td>-20.02 (0.09)</td>
<td>14.91 (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>96.9 (5.8)</td>
<td>-20.0 (0.07)</td>
<td>14.94 (0.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>juveniles</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>43.7 (2.3)</td>
<td>-20.51 (0.06)</td>
<td>13.89 (0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>47.9 (3)</td>
<td>-20.51 (0.06)</td>
<td>14.02 (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>offshore</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>97.2 (10)</td>
<td>-20.55 (0.12)</td>
<td>14.57 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>110.2 (5.2)</td>
<td>-20.33 (0.08)</td>
<td>15.45 (0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooved</td>
<td>nearshore</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29.7 (2.5)</td>
<td>-20.7 (0.11)</td>
<td>13.54 (0.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.4 (3.1)</td>
<td>-20.59 (0.04)</td>
<td>13.5 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>offshore</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>184.3 (33.1)</td>
<td>-20.14 (0.2)</td>
<td>15.18 (0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>199.3 (24.3)</td>
<td>-19.73 (0.18)</td>
<td>16.31 (0.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>offshore</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.0 (8)</td>
<td>-20.74 (0.11)</td>
<td>14.21 (0.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>juveniles</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37.0 (5.5)</td>
<td>-20.66 (0.02)</td>
<td>13.75 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>218.5 (17.9)</td>
<td>-20.53 (0.15)</td>
<td>14.94 (0.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>offshore</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>149.4 (10.3)</td>
<td>-20.84 (0.14)</td>
<td>13.64 (0.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>218.5 (17.9)</td>
<td>-20.53 (0.15)</td>
<td>14.94 (0.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>offshore</td>
<td>juveniles</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>42.6 (2.6)</td>
<td>-20.88 (0.14)</td>
<td>13.37 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45.4 (2.8)</td>
<td>-20.99 (0.11)</td>
<td>13.09 (0.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray</td>
<td>Sable Island</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>189.5 (31)</td>
<td>-19.14 (0.69)</td>
<td>16.68 (0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>290.8 (42.1)</td>
<td>-18.59 (0.6)</td>
<td>17.79 (1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>juveniles</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>56.4 (24.1)</td>
<td>-19.81 (0.64)</td>
<td>17.53 (0.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>45.6 (6.5)</td>
<td>-19.65 (0.35)</td>
<td>17.47 (0.65)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

was no significant difference in δ¹³C between harp and hooded seals (F(1,158) = 0.05, P = 0.825). However, these species differed significantly in δ¹³C values in samples taken offshore (F(1,69) = 8.47, P = 0.005) with more negative values for hooded seals.

By contrast, we found no significant difference in δ¹⁵N values between harp and hooded seals (F(1,228) = 0.24, P = 0.625), but there were significant effects of age class (F(1,228) = 67.09, P < 0.001) and sampling area (F(1,50) = 19.11, P < 0.001). There was a significant interaction term between species and area (F(1,228) = 13.27, P < 0.001) with nearshore samples not differing between species (F(1,158) = 2.93, P = 0.089), whereas offshore samples did (F(1,158) = 6.39, P = 0.014) with lower values for hooded seals.

Overall, the three species differed significantly in SI values (for δ¹³C: F(2,303) = 111.18, P < 0.001; for δ¹⁵N: F(2,303) = 202.65, P < 0.001; Fig. 4, Table 2). Post hoc testing indicated that gray seals had the most enriched δ¹³C (mean ± SE: -19.1‰, ± 0.1) and elevated δ¹⁵N (mean ± SE: 17.3‰, ± 0.1), while hooded seals had the most depleted δ¹³C (mean: -20.6‰, ± 0.1) and lowest δ¹⁵N (mean: 14.1‰, ± 0.2). Harp seals had intermediate δ¹³C (mean: -20.2‰, ± 0.04) and δ¹⁵N (mean: 14.4‰, ± 0.1) values. δ¹³C values differed significantly by sex (F(1,303) = 15.49, P < 0.001) and age class (F(1,303) = 67.45, P < 0.001), but there were significant interactions among species and sex (F(2,303) = 5.53, P = 0.004) and species and age class (F(2,303) = 3.84, P = 0.023). Similarly, δ¹⁵N values differed significantly by sex (F(1,303) = 25.97, P < 0.001) and age class (F(1,303) = 25.49, P < 0.001). Again, there were significant interactions among species and sex (F(2,303) = 4.49, P = 0.012) and species and age class (F(2,303) = 13.82, P < 0.001). The species-sex interaction was likely driven by gray seals, which exhibit strong sex-specific SI values (Tucker et al. 2007) not...
Figure 3. Mean (± SE) isotope values for adult and juvenile harp and hooded seals in nearshore and offshore sampling locations.

Table 2. Summary of main effects (age class, sex, sampling location, and species) for within and between seal species $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{15}$N. Gray seals are included in all seals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C</th>
<th>$\delta^{15}$N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>$P$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age class</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>17.54</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sex</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>location</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooded</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age class</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12.89</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sex</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>location</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harp vs. hooded</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>species</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age class</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>38.62</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>location</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All seals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>species</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>111.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
found in the other two species. The interaction with age-class implies species shifted diets in different ways. Again, gray seals demonstrated more complex differences between sex and age-classes and were likely driving this interaction (Tucker et al. 2007).

Body size ranged between 20 and 182 kg, 24 and 301 kg, and 38 and 381 kg in harp, hooded, and gray seals, respectively. There was a significant relationship between $\delta^{13}C$ and body size (LM $r^2 = 0.66, P < 0.001$) with a significant effect of species ($F_{(2,303)} = 16.87, P < 0.001$) indicating that intercepts differed (Fig. 5, Table 3). The interaction between body size and species was not significant ($F_{(2,303)} = 1.41, P = 0.246$) indicating that the slopes of the relationships did not differ significantly. Similarly, there was a significant relationship between $\delta^{15}N$ and body size (LM $r^2 = 0.66, P < 0.001$) with a significant effect of species (different intercepts; $F_{(2,303)} = 91.12, P < 0.001$). However, unlike $\delta^{13}C$ values, the interaction between body size and species was significant ($F_{(2,303)} = 24.53, P < 0.001$) suggesting $\delta^{15}N$ values changed differently with body size among species.

**Table 3.** Relationship between $\delta^{13}C$, as a proxy for primary energy source, as well as $\delta^{15}N$ and body size ($W$, kg; SE<sub>est</sub> is the standard error of the estimate) determined for three seal species. Standard error of the coefficients is given in parentheses. Note: the gray seal model for $\delta^{15}N$ is for adult animals only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>SE&lt;sub&gt;est&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gray</td>
<td>$\delta^{13}C = -20.073(0.152) + 0.005(0.001)W$</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\delta^{15}N = 15.31(0.58) + 0.008(0.001)W$</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harp</td>
<td>$\delta^{13}C = -20.752(0.063) + 0.006(0.001)W$</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\delta^{15}N = 13.30(0.14) + 0.015(0.002)W$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooded</td>
<td>$\delta^{13}C = -21.070(0.151) + 0.004(0.001)W$</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\delta^{15}N = 12.75(0.28) + 0.012(0.002)W$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.** Mean ($\pm$ SE) isotope values for adult and juvenile harp, hooded, and gray seals; all sampling locations combined.
The key finding of our study was that each species displayed a similar ontogenetic diet shift characterized by a change in the main carbon source exploited, despite differences between species in SI values. δ¹³C values of all three species increased with size and age, indicating that seals exploit or forage in deeper habitats as they get larger and older. We also found similar slopes among species in the regression of δ¹³C against body mass suggesting that there is a common factor driving habitat shifts or dietary changes with body size despite species differences in diet, foraging behavior, habitat, and distribution.

Isotope data of potential prey in the North Atlantic are unfortunately scarce and scattered amongst different studies and do not permit an actual estimation of the diet of seals primarily because of the lack of isotope data for common prey taxa (i.e., Hammill and Stenson 2000, Tucker et al. 2009). Instead, we have presented the data (Fig. 2) to characterize the general trends between benthic-pelagic systems of the North Atlantic. In the North Atlantic as in all marine systems, δ¹³C becomes relatively lower further offshore and increases with depth along a vertical axis (Fig. 2; Sherwood and Rose 2005, Tucker et al. 2007, McMeans et al. 2010, Pinela et al. 2010). Therefore, δ¹³C can be used as proxy for where seals are feeding in the water column relative to the bottom.

Harp, hooded, and gray seals displayed significant differences in δ¹³C values (Fig. 2, 4). Gray seals, which feed over relatively shallower waters of the Scotian Shelf and often dive to the sea floor (Beck et al. 2003, Breed et al. 2009), had high δ¹³C values characteristic of feeding on demersal prey (Tucker et al. 2007). Hooded seals, which are mainly a deep diving, shelf edge species (Folkow et al. 1999, Bajzak et al. 2009), had low δ¹³C values, characteristic of feeding on meso- to bathypelagic prey (McMeans et al. 2010), whereas harp seals, which are moderate divers over the shelf both inshore and offshore (Folkow et al. 2004, Bajzak et al. 2009) displayed intermediate δ¹³C values characteristic of feeding on epipelagic prey (McMeans et al. 2010, Pinela et al. 2010). Harp and hooded seal δ¹³C values became lower in offshore
samples for both adults and juveniles consistent with general expectations in baseline isotope values between these two habitats (Sherwood and Rose 2005, Tucker et al. 2007). Harp and hooded seal δ¹³C overlapped in the nearshore but were divergent in offshore areas. However, diet differences between species are more pronounced in the offshore (Lawson et al. 1998, Hammill and Stenson 2000, Tucker et al. 2009).

Nitrogen increases with each trophic level, but baseline values vary among food webs. Pelagic communities typically have lower δ¹⁵N values relative to shelf-based benthic communities (Sherwood and Rose 2005, Tucker et al. 2007, McMeans et al. 2010, Pinela et al. 2010). Therefore baseline nitrogen values change relative to the position on the carbon axis, such that overlap in δ¹⁵N values of animals with different δ¹³C values does not necessarily imply convergent trophic position or diet. Without explicit analysis of potential prey, it becomes difficult to estimate and interpret changes in trophic levels between animals residing in different habitats. We already have a good idea of trophic levels occupied by these seals given other quantitative descriptions of diet composition (e.g., Hammill and Stenson 2000, Haug et al. 2004, Bowen and Harrison 2007). All three species consume a mix of invertebrates and fishes, making them to varying degrees, secondary and tertiary consumers. What is most revealing from the stable isotopes are the shifts in habitat. Both within and between species, our results show that δ¹⁵N shifted with age and sampling location consistent with changes in δ¹³C.

The lack of sex differences in stable isotope values, particularly for the size-dimorphic hooded seal, was somewhat unexpected. In a previous study using fatty acid analysis, we found sex differences in diets for both adult harp and hooded seals (Tucker et al. 2009). Adult male harp seals had higher proportions of pelagic amphipods in diets while females consumed higher proportions of epipelagic fish such as arctic cod and sand lance. In adult hooded seals, males consumed higher proportions of redfish while females consumed greater proportions of other meso- to bathypelagic fishes such as blue hake and white baraccudine. Failing to find a sex effect might be attributed to small sample size, but it is more likely a function of the overlap in where male and female seals forage coupled with the lower resolution of stable isotopes relative to fatty acids in delineating diets (Tucker et al. 2008).

Sex differences in foraging behavior are not as pronounced in harp and hooded seals as for other seal species. For example, although Folkow et al. (2004) and Nordøy et al. (2008) found that females dive significantly deeper than males in some areas of the White, Barents, and Greenland Seas this was not systematic, or consistent over the entire study area; in some cases males were actually diving slightly deeper than females. Bajzak et al. (2009) found that adult hooded seals display alternating seasonal differences in dive depths with males tending to make deeper dives than females preceding their postbreeding, northward migration but more shallow dives after migration. In addition, both species exhibit synchronous seasonal migrations during which female and male distributions overlap (Folkow et al. 2004, Nordøy et al. 2008, Andersen et al. 2009, Bajzak et al. 2009). Thus the different sexes appear to be exploiting similar carbon pools (habitats), and feeding at similar trophic levels even though the exact species compositions of the diets are different. This contrasts with the sexually size-dimorphic gray seal which shows pronounced differences between males and females in diving (Beck et al. 2003) and seasonal sex segregation in habitat use (Breed et al. 2006), presumably accounting for the large differences in stable isotope values (Tucker et al. 2007).

Each species displayed a similar ontogenetic diet shift characterized by a change in the main carbon source exploited as seals got older. δ¹³C values increased with size
and age, indicating that seals exploit/forage in deeper habitats as they get larger and older. Finding similar slopes among species suggests a common factor driving dietary changes with body size despite species differences in diet, foraging behavior, habitat, and distribution.

Following the retreat of pack ice in spring, both harp and hooded seals appear to follow a similar northward migration pattern each year spanning thousands of kilometers, irrespective of sex and age, and return south in winter. Granted, for both harp and hooded seals we have little information on ontogenetic differences in movement patterns, although all age classes of both sexes are observed over the entire range (e.g., this study, Folkow et al. 2004). However, foraging range generally increases with age in pinnipeds (e.g., Field et al. 2005). \( \delta^{13}C \) becomes lower with increasing latitude (Newsome et al. 2010). Therefore, if adult seals systematically foraged in higher latitudes relative to juveniles, we might expect them to have lower \( \delta^{13}C \) values, all else being equal. The opposite pattern was observed. Therefore, while a latitude effect may contribute to variation in carbon isotope values between individuals, as some individuals might range further north, it is likely not systematic between demographic groups (species, age-classes, and sex). Latitudinal range is far more restricted in gray seals (Breed 2006) and likely not a large effect on isotope values.

Another possible explanation for this ontogenetic shift in isotope values, without involving a change in diet, is the simple influence of growth on isotope fractionation. In tissues comprised primarily of protein, growth and nutritional status are more likely to impact \( \delta^{15}N \) (Fuller et al. 2005); effects on \( \delta^{13}C \) typically occur through changes in tissue lipid composition (Newsome et al. 2010). In a recent controlled feeding study of birds, there was no effect of growth on \( \delta^{13}C \) values (Sears et al. 2009) consistent with other studies of food restriction (Fuller et al. 2005, Kempster et al. 2007). Growth affected nitrogen values by 0.37‰–0.55‰ (Sears et al. 2009) which is a small effect with respect to the differences noted here between adults and juveniles. As independent diet estimates also have shown ontogenetic diet shifts in all three species (i.e., Lawson and Stenson 1997, Beck et al. 2007, Tucker et al. 2009), we strongly suspect that changes in seal \( \delta^{13}C \) values reflect changes in diet concomitant with a change in primary habitat, rather than a growth effect or potential shift in baseline isotope values for the same dietary species. Moreover, we found the same pattern with age (data not shown).

Although classifying animals into demographic groups is a useful and common practice, body size is potentially a more ecologically integrative variable as many aspects related to foraging ability are related to body size (Peters 1983). Conventionally, it is hypothesized that an increase in size with age influences the ability of an individual to obtain prey and expand its foraging niche (e.g., Field et al. 2005, 2007) since larger animals are physiologically capable of diving longer and deeper. Both dive duration and dive depth have been found to increase with body mass among marine mammals and birds (Halsey et al. 2006). Thus, it is possible that ontogenetic diet differences are a direct function of change in diving skill and behavior as older individuals that can remain at deeper depths longer have greater access to deeper dwelling species (e.g., Field et al. 2005, 2007). Although small body size may constrain the diving capacity of juvenile seals ultimately limiting access to prey, it is not clear why adults would consistently switch from pelagic or epipelagic prey, simply because they have the diving capacity to reach more mesopelagic or benthic prey, particularly since pelagic prey tend to have higher energy density than benthic prey in these systems (Beck et al. 2007, Tucker et al. 2007) and older animals are likely
competitively superior to younger, less experienced animals. Yet in all three species, these types of ontogenetic diet shifts have been found (Beck et al. 2007, Tucker et al. 2009). Thus size may be a proxy for something else, or size influences foraging efficiency.

The interaction between body size, foraging energetics, prey size, prey quality, and feeding behavior is better understood for terrestrial predators (Carbone et al. 1999, Sinclair et al. 2003, Carbone et al. 2007, Owen-Smith and Mills 2008) and for other upper-trophic level aquatic predators (Trudel et al. 2001, Pazzia et al. 2002, Sherwood et al. 2002, Rasmussen et al. 2008). In terrestrial animals, predator size often determines dietary overlap (e.g., Radloff and du Toit 2004) and also both the prey size and the type of prey that can be consumed in order to efficiently satisfy energy requirements (Carbone et al. 1999). As a result, it is likely that there is an interaction between body size, energy requirements, prey size, and prey availability, as well as the overall efficiency with which prey can be consumed and digested, which drives consistent patterns in resource use and diet in terrestrial animals (Carbone et al. 1999, Sinclair et al. 2003, Radloff and du Toit 2004). Terrestrial carnivores do not appear to display the same degree of ontogenetic diet shifts as their marine counterparts. Perhaps this is due to longer weaning times and their more social hunting behavior (Sinclair et al. 2003). In contrast, phocids are typically weaned abruptly and begin independent foraging soon after birth (Bowen 1991). Ontogenetic diet shifts in fish are thought to reflect the increasing foraging cost of consuming increasingly relatively smaller prey (Piazza et al. 2002, Sherwood et al. 2002). Typically this diet switch is made in the direction of larger prey, but the underlying operative is decreased foraging costs (Rasmussen et al. 2008). Harbor seals (Phoca vitulina), fitted with an animal borne video system (Crittercam), used repeated, high-speed pursuit of schools and of individuals when foraging on conspicuous sand lance, but used a slower cruising or a digging tactic when foraging on cryptic sand lance and flounder in the bottom substrate (Bowen et al. 2002). Consequently, the estimated profitability (i.e., net energy intake per unit time) of cryptic prey was higher than conspicuous prey. Thus these results suggest that diet selection, in particular as it relates to pursuit and handling costs, have important implications on the foraging energetics of pinnipeds in general.

We hypothesize that diet shifts reduce foraging costs since foraging costs are the largest fraction of the energy budget (Sparling and Fedak 2004), and are not simply a matter of increased access to different prey due to increased diving capacity, foraging range and altered behavior. Activity costs, which include such extraneous costs as swimming, foraging, and other behavioral activities, are typically estimated to be at least twice basal metabolic costs (e.g., Hammill and Stenson 2000, Trzcinski et al. 2006). Thus, a progressive adjustment might be made by minimizing foraging costs since these are such a large component of the energy budget. As observed in the harbor seal example, foraging costs may be reduced on more sedentary, benthic prey, despite their lower quality. On the other hand, foraging costs on highly mobile prey for large bodied adults may be too large for them to be beneficial. Another feature of a diet based more on benthic prey species is that it may be relatively more predictable in time and space given the typically higher recruitment variability in small pelagics (Frank et al. 2005). This increased predictability may make benthic resource increasing attractive for large individuals with absolute greater food requirement compared to juveniles, despite having to forage at greater depths. Notwithstanding greater predictability of resources, higher relative costs related to growth or pregnancy might actually confine animals to feed within
pelagic food webs given the higher energy return (Keiver et al. 1984, Costa et al. 1989, Martensson et al. 1994).

All seals are shifting the primary source of energy derivation as they grow. While there was a degree of overlap in stable isotope values, species differences were maintained over their lives. There is also overlap in body size among all species. In particular, gray seals are of a similar size range as hooded seals over their lifespan. Therefore, the general relationship between body size and dive depth does not always hold true between species (Halsey et al. 2006). Strictly from a body size perspective, either gray seals under-perform with respect to their dive performance, or hooded seals are over-achieving. Therefore, interspecific segregation in isotope values appears to be more aligned with differences in diving physiology and subsequent dive performance. Diving marine mammals and birds have a number of important physiological adaptations conferring greater mass-specific oxygen storage capacities than their terrestrial relatives (Halsey et al. 2006). Like other pinnipeds, both harp and hooded seals have achieved their large total body oxygen stores (TBO₂) stores by elevating both their blood and muscle oxygen stores. Burns et al. (2007) found that harp and hooded seal adults have large TBO₂ stores, due primarily to very high concentrations of muscle myoglobin (Mb); among the highest recorded in any marine mammal. Thus, the higher Mb in the major swimming muscles of hooded seals fits with their deep diving behavior (Folkow and Blix 1999). Harp seals might have elevated stores due to their more active locomotory patterns (Burns et al. 2007). For their size, gray seals have very moderate TBO₂ stores (Burns et al. 2007) due to relatively lower Mb in muscle (Noren et al. 2005). In marine mammal species and in particular amongst the pinnipeds studied to date, muscle myoglobin concentrations reaches adult values at some point soon after independent foraging has begun, including the three species under consideration here (Noren et al. 2005, Burns et al. 2007).

We have taken a comparative approach to explore niche partitioning both between and within three seal species. Even in the absence of explicit prey values, stable isotopes can be useful to indicate representative trophic relationships related more to functional patterns of feeding (i.e., demersal-pelagic, inshore-offshore). Our results show that these species are segregated with respect to energy sources they exploit. This is reflected in species differences in dive behavior and dive physiology. Our results also reveal that over the course of their lives, these species systematically switch the primary prey source they exploit, relying more heavily on deeper prey. This appears to be a pattern observed in other pinniped species, as juveniles typically have lower carbon values than adults (i.e., Holst et al. 2001, Zhao et al. 2004, Drago et al. 2009, Baillieul et al. 2010, Young et al. 2010, Zeppelin and Orr 2010). Given similar patterns among these three species, relative to body size, and evidence from several other species, we hypothesize such shifts may be widespread response to changes in foraging energetics, likely offsetting increasing energy demands with reduced foraging costs.
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